APPENDIX 10.A — FORMS

Design Procedure Form

Engineer		Project
City/County		Description
Maps:		FEMA Flood Insurance Studies
USGS Quad Scale	Date	
		STUDIES BY INTERNAL SOURCES:
		Quarterly Reports
		Hydraulics Sect. Records
		District Drainage Records
Flood Insurance Firm & FHBM		Flood Record (Highwater, Newspaper)
Local Land Use		Bridge Inspection Reports
Soils Map		CALIBRATION OF HIGH-WATER DATA:
Geologic Maps		Discharge and Frequency of H.W. el.
Aerial Photos Scale	Date	Influences Responsible for H.W. el.
STUDIES BY EXTERNAL AGENCIES:		
USACE Floodplain Inform. Report		Analyze Hydraulic Performance of Facility for Min. Flow through 50-Yr
NRCS Watershed Studies PFP-HYDRC)	Analyze Hydraulic Performance of Proposed Facility for Min. Flow through 50-Yr
Local Watershed Management		Concept Report
USGS Gages & Studies		
Interim Floodplain Studies		DESIGN APPURTENANCES:
Water Resources Data		Dissipators, Riprap
Regional Planning Data		Scour Analysis/Evaluation
Forestry Services		Erosion & Sediment Control
Utilty Company Plans		Fish & Wildlife Protection

DISCHARGE CALO	CUATIONS:	_	DATA REPORTS:
Drainage Areas		_	UDOT Data
Formula		_	Other UDOT Data
HEC 1/TR-20			
NRCS		=	ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS:
Gaging Data	Regional Analysis	_	Utah Department of Environmental Quality
	Regression Equations	_	Surface Water Environment Study
	Area-Discharge Curves	_	Surface Water Environment Revisions
Log-Pearson Type	III Gage Rating	_	Reconnaissance Report
		-	
		<u>-</u>	Design Study Report
HIGH-WATER ELE	VATIONS:	-	
UDOT Survey		<u> </u>	Drainage Survey Inspection Report
External Sources		_	Drainage Survey Inspection Report Revisions
Personal Reconnais	ssance	_	Hydraulic Design Report
		-	Hydraulic Design Report Revisions
FLOOD HISTORY:		-	Construction Report
		-	Construction Report Revisions
		-	Hydraulic Operation Report
External Sources		-	Hydraulic Operation Report Revisions
Personal Reconnais	ssance	-	Tryaradilo Operation Report Revisions
Maintenance Recor	ds	_	
		-	
		- -	

TECHNIAL AIDS:		
UDOT <i>Drainage Manual</i>		
UDOT & FHWA Directives		
Technical Library		
COMPUTER PROGRAMS:		
HY8, CDS		
Direct Step Water Surface Profile		
USACE HEC 2 Water Surface Profile		
FHWA Bridge Backwater		
Log-Pearson Type III Analysis		
WSPRO Water Surface Profile		
PFP-HYDRA		
FESWMS		
HEC 1/TR 20		
USACE HEC-RAS River Analysis System		
BRI-STARS		

10.	A-4 UDOT Manual	of Instruction – Roadway Drainage (US Customar	ry Units), Bridges
Со	mpiled by:	Scheme No	
Da	te		
		tisk Assessment Checklist g Judgment based on Survey and Plans)	
1.	Potential risk to human life due to flood po upstream and/or "Dam Break – Flood Wav		Check Off
2.	Damage to adjacent property by changes	in hydraulic characteristics	
3.	Damage to highway facility		

4. Traffic Service

AADT	Detours Available	
Describe detour (i.e., Rte to Rte to Rte, Length mi)		

5	Floodplain Management Criteria	
٥.	^	
	Specify:	

6.	Floodplain Impacts	_	
		-	
		-	
		-	
7.	Other Pertinent Factors	-	
		-	
		-	
		-	

UDOT Risk Assessment For Final Design

LOCATION

Co	ounty	_ Civil Twp	Sec	Twp	Range
Pro	ver (River, Cr., Dr. Ditch) roject No ssessment Prepared by	Design Number	oer	FHWA No	0
		HYDROLOGIC E\			
A.	Nearest Gaging Station on this strea)	
В.	Are flood studies available on this st	tream?			
C.	Flood Data:				
	Q ₁₀ ft ³ /s, Est. Bkwtr	_ ft	Q_{25} ft ³ /	s, Est. Bkwtr.	ft
	Q ₅₀ ft ³ /s, Est. Bkwtr	_ ft	Q_{100} ft ³	/s, Est. Bkwtr	ft
	Q ₅₀₀ ft ³ /s, or Overtopping	ft ³ /s	Est. Bl	cwtr ft	
	Drainage Area	Method	Used to comp	oute Q	
D.	Does the crossing require outside A List Agencies:	gency approval?	Ye	es No	
	2. PROF	PERTY-RELATED	EVALUATIO	NS	
A.	Damage potential: Low List buildings in floodplain Floor Elevation Upstream Land Use Anticipate Any Change?		Locatio	on	
B.	Any flood zoning? (FIA Studies, etc. Type of Study		_ (100 yr)		
Co	omments:				
	3. <u>ENVI</u>	RONMENTAL CO	NSIDERATIO	<u>NS</u>	
A.	List commitments in Environmental	Documents that a	ffect Hydraulic	Design	(None)

4. HIGHWAY AND BRIDGE (CULVERT) RELATED EVALUATIONS

A.	Note any outside features that Levees Aggradation	on/Degradation	Reservoirs	
В.	Roadway Overflow Section (I Embankment: Soil Type			
	Comments:			
		5. MISCELLANEOUS		
A.	Is there unusual scour potent	ial? Yes No	_ Protection Needed	1?
В.	Are banks stable?		_ Protection Needed	l?
C.	Are spur dikes needed? Yes	No	_	
D.	Does stream carry appreciab	le amount of ice?	_ Elev. of high	ice
E.	Does stream carry appreciab	le amount of large driftwo	ood?	
	Comments:			
		6. TRAFFIC-RELATED	EVALUATIONS	
A.	Present Year	Traffic Count	VPD	% Trucks
В.	Design Year	Traffic Count	VPD	% Trucks
C.	Emergency Route	School Bus Route	Mai	I Route
D.	Detour Available?	Lenç	gth of Detour	mi
	Comments:			
		7. PRESENT FA	<u>CILITY</u>	
A.	Low Roadway Elevation:			
В.	Bridge Hydraulic Capacity at	point of overtopping	F	$\frac{\rm ft^3/s}{\rm Frequency}$ (if less than $\rm Q_{500}$)
C.	Is flash flooding likely? Yes _	No		
	Comments:			

8. <u>ALTERNATIVES</u>

Recommended Design Low Superstructure (Bridge) Low Roadway Grade	Top Opening (Culvert)
Were other hydraulic alternatives considered? Discussion:	
Is this assessment commensurate with the risks or is further analysis needed? (Yes N	
Comments:	
	Low Superstructure (Bridge)